

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/321,605	05/28/1999	NAOYA SASHIDA	990535	6725	
23850	7590 05/07/2002				
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP		EXAMINER			
1725 K STREI SUITE 1000	•	CHEN, JACK S J			
WASHINGIC	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2813	#25	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/321,605

Applicant(s)

Sashida et al.

Exa	

Jack Chen

Art Unit **2813**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sh	eet with	the corres	pondence address		
A SHOTHE No Extended Fig. 1 Shows a second control of the second c	FOR REPLY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the rined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In a strong to the strong to the strong within the strong will apply a statute, cause the strong to the strong to the strong to the strong the strong to the strong the stron	no event, ne statuto and will ex ne applica	however, in the manner of the	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will 3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 15, 20</u>	002			·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is non-final	l .				
3) 🗆							
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>			is/ar	e pending in the application.		
4	1a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>17-20</u>			is/aı	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)						
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			•	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims 1-16, 21, and 22						
	ation Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to b	v the Ev	aminer			
10) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on				b) disapproved.		
11)∐ 12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami		, -,	. _{F.} F. 5700			
13) ∑ a)∫	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign portion of the priority documents have a claim for foreign portion of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have a copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure fee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been receive ve been receive locuments have au (PCT Rule	ed. ed in Ap e been r 17.2(a)).	plication l	No		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				(e).		
Attachn	nent(s)						
15) 🔲 N	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview S					
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of I	nformal Pate	ent Application	n (PTO-152)		
17) 🔲 la	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/321,605

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/15/2002 has been entered.

Election/Restriction

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, claim 4 (figs. 1C) drawn to method for forming a semiconductor device by setting the lower electrode into a size which is wider than the oxide dielectric film.

Species II, claim 5 (figs. 5A-5D) drawn to method for forming a semiconductor device by forming an intermediate insulating film for covering the oxide dielectric film and the lower electrode and patterning the intermediate insulating film to form a window; and forming upper electrode in the window.

Species III, claims 14-15 (figs. 6A-6F) drawn to method for forming a semiconductor device by forming a conductive plug between the metal film and the impurity diffusion layer in the first opening.

Application/Control Number: 09/321,605 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 6-13, 16 and 21-22 are generic.

- 4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/321,605 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

N v . 1

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jack Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5838.

Jack Chen

April 25, 2002

Sarkelen